Memorandum to the City of Markham Committee of Adjustment

March 10, 2025

File: A/155/24

Address: 5300 14th Avenue, Markham

Agent: Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Emma Borho)

Hearing Date: Wednesday, March 19, 2025

The following comments are provided on behalf of the East Team:

The applicant is requesting relief from the following Residential – Public Education (RES-PE) Zone requirements of By-law 2024-19, as amended. The requested variance is to permit:

a) By-law 2024-19, Table 5.4.1:

one parking space per 54 square metres of gross floor area, whereas the by-law requires one parking space per 30 square metres of gross floor area.

BACKGROUND

Property Description

The 60,937.39m² (655,924.61 ft²) subject property is located on the north side of 14th Avenue, west of Middlefield Road and east of McCowan Road. The property is occupied by Father Michael McGivney Catholic Academy and a sports dome. Surrounding land uses include a CN railway line and Highway 407 to the north, vacant lands to the east, and low-rise subdivision to the south and west.

Related Applications

In 2014, a Minor Variance (A/62/14) was approved for the subject lands to permit a proposed sports dome, as noted below:

- Section 6.6.2 (d): a maximum height of 20.75 metres, whereas the By-law permits a maximum building or structure height of 10.7 metres;
- By-law 28-97, Table B: a total of 340 parking spaces, whereas the By-law requires a minimum of 514 parking spaces; and
- By-law 28-97: 8 barrier free spaces on site, whereas the By-law requires 10 barrier free spaces

Further, this application is associated with a current Site Plan Control Application (SPC 22 118426) for a proposed parking lot and access realignment.

Proposal

The applicant is proposing a parking reduction for the Subject Lands, resulting in a reduction of 37 parking spaces from the existing parking supply of 345 spaces. There are no proposed changes to any existing structures on the Subject Lands.

Official Plan and Zoning

Official Plan 2014 (partially approved on November 24/17, and updated on April 9/18)

The subject property is designated "Residential Low Rise", which provides for low rise housing forms including public schools.

Zoning By-Law 2024-19

The subject property is zoned Residential – Public Education (RES-PE) under By-law 2024-19, as amended, which permits public schools under the jurisdiction of the York Region District School Board, the York Catholic District School Board, the Conseil Scolaire de district du Centre Sud-Ouest, the Conseil Scolaire de district Catholique Centre-Sud, or other similar provincially approved educational institutions.

Applicant's Stated Reason(s) for Not Complying with Zoning

According to the information provided by the applicant, the reason for not complying with Zoning is:

"It is not possible to comply because a driveway realignment/parking lot configuration will result in a reduction in parking supply. The existing parking supply meets current demand of the site, avoids new surface parking, and increases overall green space. With the reduction, there will still be a parking surplus."

Zoning Preliminary Review (ZPR) Not Undertaken

The owner has confirmed that a Zoning Preliminary Review (ZPR) has <u>not</u> been conducted. However, the applicant has received comments from the Site Plan Control Application (SPC 22 118426) review process to confirm the variances required for the proposed development.

COMMENTS

The Planning Act states that four tests must be met in order for a variance to be granted by the Committee of Adjustment:

- a) The variance must be minor in nature;
- b) The variance must be desirable, in the opinion of the Committee of Adjustment, for the appropriate development or use of land, building or structure:
- c) The general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law must be maintained;
- d) The general intent and purpose of the Official Plan must be maintained.

Parking Reduction

The Applicant is requesting a parking rate of one parking space per 54 square metres of gross floor area, whereas the by-law requires one parking space per 30 square metres of gross floor area. This rate would provide a total of 308 parking spaces for the site, which is a reduction of 37 parking spaces from the current supply of 345 spaces as approved by the 2014 Minor Variance (A/62/12).

A Parking Justification Study was submitted on December 2, 2024 in support of the application that concluded that the proposed parking supply of 308 spaces is sufficient to accommodate the existing uses on the Subject Lands. Transportation Engineering staff have reviewed the study and are of the opinion that the variance is appropriate based on the findings of the parking study and is unlikely to result in any significant impact on the parking supply of the property.

Staff have no objections to the requested parking reduction and are of the opinion that the requested variance will not result in any adverse impacts to the site.

PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY

No written submissions were received as of March 12, 2024. It is noted that additional information may be received after the writing of the report, and the Secretary-Treasurer will provide information on this at the meeting.

CONCLUSION

Planning Staff have reviewed the application with respect to Section 45(1) of The Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended, and are of the opinion that the variance request meets the four tests of the Planning Act and have no objection. Staff recommend that the Committee consider public input in reaching a decision.

The onus is ultimately on the applicant to demonstrate why they should be granted relief from the requirements of the zoning by-law, and how they satisfy the tests of the Planning Act required for the granting of minor variances.

Please refer to Appendix "A" for conditions to be attached to any approval of this application.

PREPARED BY:
ZM.
Brashanthe Manoharan, Planner II, East District
REVIEWED BY:
Stacia Muradali Development Manager Fast District

CONDITIONS TO BE ATTACHED TO ANY APPROVAL OF FILE A/155/24

- 1. The variances apply only to the proposed development as long as it remains;
- 2. That the variances apply only to the subject development, in substantial conformity with the plan attached as Appendix "B" to this Staff Report; or further revised by any site plan 'endorsed' or 'approved' drawings under File SPC 22 118426, and that the Secretary-Treasurer receive written confirmation from the Supervisor of the Committee of Adjustment or designate that this condition has been fulfilled to their satisfaction;
- 3. Submission of a Tree Assessment and Preservation Plan, prepared by a qualified arborist in accordance with the City's Trees for Tomorrow Streetscape Manual, to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Urban Design, or their designate, through the future Site Plan Approval process.
- 4. That tree replacements be provided and/or tree replacement fees be paid to the City where required, in accordance with the City's Trees for Tomorrow Streetscape Manual and Accepted Tree Assessment and Preservation Plan, through the future Site Plan Approval process.
- 5. That prior to the commencement of construction, demolition and/or issuance of building permit, tree protection be erected and maintained around all trees on site, including City of Markham street trees, in accordance with the City's Trees for Tomorrow Streetscape Manual, Accepted Tree Assessment and Preservation Plan, and conditions of the site plan agreement, to be inspected by City staff to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Urban Design, or their designate

ZM.
Brashanthe Manoharan, Planner II. East District

CONDITIONS PREPARED BY:

