Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

Questions about MPMP results should be addressed to:

Name: Contact Centre	Phone: 905-477-5530
Title:	
Municipality: City of Markham	
Email: customerservice@markham.ca	
Related documents and links:	

Local Government

CONTACT PERSON FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT:

1.1 G	1.1 GENERAL GOVERNMENT - EFFICIENCY					
	2011	2010	2009			
1.1 a) Operating costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal operating costs.	8.7%	9.6%	10.0%			
1.1 b) Total costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal costs.	25.3%	27.2%				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient local government.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 0206 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 0206 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

Fire Services

CONTACT PERSON FOR FIRE SERVICES:

2.1 FIRE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY				
	201	1	2010	2009
2.1 a) Operating costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.	\$ 0.68	3 \$	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.66
2.1 b) Total costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.	\$ 0.68	3 \$	\$ 0.64	

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient fire services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 1103 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 1103 45 (Total costs measure).

	2.2 & 2.3 CIVILIAN FIRE RELATED INJURIES – EFFECTIVENESS				
		2011	2010	2009	
2.2	Number of residential fire related civilian injuries per 1,000 persons.	0.010	0.000	0.000	
2.3	Number of residential fire related civilian injuries averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons.	0.006	0.003	0.008	

OBJECTIVE:

Minimize the number of civilian injuries in residential fires.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 1151 07 (2.2) and 92 1152 07 (2.3).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

2.4 Number of residential fire related civilian fatalities per 1,000 persons. 2.5 Number of residential fire related civilian fatalities averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons. 2.6 Number of residential fire related civilian fatalities averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons. 2.7 Number of residential fire related civilian fatalities averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons.	2.4 & 2.5 CIVILIAN FIRE RELATED FATALITIES - EFFECTIVENESS				
civilian fatalities per 1,000 persons. 0.000 0.000 0.000 2.5 Number of residential fire related civilian fatalities averaged over 5 0.000 0.000 0.001		2011	2010	2009	
civilian fatalities averaged over 5 0.000 0.000 0.001		0.000	0.000	0.000	
	civilian fatalities averaged over 5	0.000	0.000	0.001	

Minimize the number of civilian fatalities in residential fires.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 1155 07 (2.4) and 92 1156 07 (2.5).

2.6 NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURAL FIRES – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2011	2010	2009		
2.6 Number of residential structural fires per 1,000 households.	0.098	0.559	0.820		

OBJECTIVE:

Minimize the number of residential structural fires.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 1160 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

Police Services

CONTACT PERSON FOR POLICE SERVICES:

3.1 POLICE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY			
	2011	2010	2009
3.1 a) Operating costs for police services per person. ¹			
3.1 b) Total costs for police services per person.			
OD IECTIVE.			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient police services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- ¹ As of 2009, the efficiency measures for police services do not include expenses for prisoner transportation or court security since expenses for these services are being uploaded to the Province over a number of years. The efficiency measures for police services align with effectiveness measures based on crime rates.
- Financial Information Return: 91 1204 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 1204 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

	3.2 VIOLENT CRIME RATE – EFFECTIVENESS				
		2011	2010	2009	
3.2	Violent crime rate per 1,000 persons. ¹				
OBJECTIVE: Safe communities.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
	DEFEDENCE.				
 REFERENCE: ¹ Statistics Canada has expanded the definition of violent crime. Therefore, prior years are not comparable unles 					
	restated.	aemmuon or violei	it Gillie. Thereic	ne, piloi yeais ai	e not comparable unit
restated. • Financial Information Return: 92 1258 07.					

3.3 PROPERTY CRIME RATE – EFFECTIVENESS			
	2011	2010	2009
3.3 Property crime rate per 1,000 persons. ¹			

OBJECTIVE:

Safe communities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- ¹ Statistics Canada has expanded the definition of property crime. Therefore, prior years are not comparable unless restated.
- Financial Information Return: 92 1259 07.

	3.4 TOTAL CRIME RATE – EFFECTIVENESS						
		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	
3.4	Total crime rate per 1,000 persons (<i>Criminal Code</i> offences, excluding traffic).						
	OBJECTIVE: Safe communities.						
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:						
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 1263 07.						

	3.5 YOUTH CRIME RATE – EFFECTIVENESS					
		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
3.5	Youth crime rate per 1,000 youths.					
	OBJECTIVE: Safe communities.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:						
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 12	65 07.				

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

Building Permits & Inspection Services

CONTACT PERSON FOR BUILDING PERMITS & INSPECTION SERVICES:

	4.1 BUILDING PERMITS & INSPECTION SERVICES – EFFICIENCY				
		20	11		
4.1 a)	Operating costs for building permits and inspection services per \$1,000 of contruction activity (based on permits issued).	\$ 4.5	6		
4.1 b)	Total costs for building permits and inspection services per \$1,000 of contruction activity (based on permits issued).	\$ 4.5	6		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient building permits and inspection services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- MPMP measures for building permits and inspection services were introduced in 2011.
- Financial Information Return: 91 1301 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 1301 45 (Total costs measure).

	4.2 REVIEW OF COMPLETE BUIL	LDING	PERMIT APPLICATIONS -	- EFFECTIVENESS
		2011		
4.2	Median number of days to review a complete bu permit application and issue a permit or not issue permit, and provide all reasons for refusal:			
a)	Category 1: Houses (houses not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres) Reference: provincial standard is 10 working days.	10		
b)	Category 2: Small Buildings (small commercial/industrial not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres) Reference: provincial standard is 15 working days.	16		
c)	Category 3: Large Buildings (large residential/commercial/ industrial/ institutional) Reference: provincial standard is 20 working days.	0		
d)	Category 4: Complex buildings (post disaster buildings, including hospitals, power/water, fire/police/EMS, communications) Reference: provincial standard is 30 working days.	20		
	OBJECTIVE: Complete bulding permit applications are proces		<u> </u>	
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTAND	DING R	RESULTS:	
	REFERENCE: • MPMP measures for building permits and ins	pectio	n services were introduced in 20	011.
	• Financial Information Return: (a) 91 1351 07	, (b) 9	1 1352 07, (c) 91 1353 07, (d) 9	1 1354 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

Roads

CONTACT PERSON FOR ROADS:

	5.1	PAVED R	OAE	OS – EFFIC	CIENCY
		2011		2010	
5.1 a) Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre. ¹	\$	6,144.66	\$	8,866.32	
5.1 b) Total costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$	6,144.66	\$	8,866.32	

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient maintenance of paved roads.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- ¹ The formulas for efficiency measures for paved roads were revised in 2010 to net out revenue received from utilities for utility cut repairs.
- The Total cost measure was also revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 2111 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2111 45 (Total costs measure).

5	.2 UNPAVED	ROADS – EFF	ICIENCY
	2011	2010	2009
5.2 a) Operating costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5.2 b) Total costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$ -	\$ -	

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient maintenance of unpaved roads.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 2110 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2110 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

5.3 BRIDGES AND CULVERTS – EFFICIENCY									
			2011		2010		2009		
5.3 a)	Operating costs for bridges and culverts per square metre of surface area.	\$	81.20	\$	3.25	\$	19.75		
5.3 b)	Total costs for bridges and culverts per square metre of surface area.	\$	81.20	\$	3.25				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient maintenance of bridges and culverts.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 2130 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2130 45 (Total costs measure).

5.4 WINTER MAINTENANCE OF ROADS – EFFICIENCY										
2011 2010 2009										
5.4 a) Operating costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$	3,953.60	\$	3,450.22	\$	3,946.69				
5.4 b) Total costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$	3,953.60	\$	3,450.22						

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient winter maintenance of roads.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 2205 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2205 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

5.5 ADEQUACY OF PAVED ROADS – EFFECTIVENESS										
	2	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007				
5.5 Percentage of paved lane where the condition is rate to very good. ¹	d as good	93%	93%	87%	76%	77%				

OBJECTIVE:

Pavement condition meets municipal objectives.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- ¹ Pavement condition is rated using a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) such as the Index used by the Ontario Good Roads Association (OGRA) or the Ministry of Transportation's Roads Inventory Management System (RIMS).
- Financial Information Return: 92 2152 07.

5.6 ADEQUACY	OF BRIDGES	AND CULVER	RTS – EFFECT	TIVENESS
	2011	2010	2009	
5.6 Percentage of bridges and culverts where the condition is rated as good to very good. ¹	84%	84%	90%	

OBJECTIVE:

Safe bridges and culverts.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The effectiveness measure for bridges and culverts was introduced in 2009.
- ¹ A bridge or culvert is rated in good to very good condition if distress to the primary components is minimal, requiring only maintenance. Primary components are the main load carrying components of the structure, including the deck, beams, girders, abutments, foundations, etc.
- Financial Information Return: 92 2165 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

	2011	2010	2009	2008	200
Percentage of winter events where the response met or exceeded locally determined municipal service levels for road maintenance.	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%
OBJECTIVE: Response to winter storm events meets lo	cally determined	service levels for	or winter road m	aintenance.	
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERS	TANDING RESU	JLTS:			

• Financial Information Return: 92 2251 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

Conventional Transit

CONTACT PERSON FOR TRANSIT:

6.1 CC	ONVENTIONA	L TRANSIT –	EFFICIENCY
	2011	2010	2009
6.1 a) Operating costs for conventional transit per regular service passenger trip.			
6.1 b) Total costs for conventional transit per regular service passenger trip.			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient conventional transit services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 2203 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2203 45 (Total costs measure).

6.2 C	ONVENTIONAL TRANS	SIT RIDERSHI	P – EFFECTIV	ENESS	
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
6.2 Number of conventional tran passenger trips per person in service area in a year.					
OB IECTIVE:					

OBJECTIVE:

Maximum utilization of municipal transit services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 2351 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

Wastewater (Sewage)

CONTACT PERSON FOR WASTEWATER:

	7.1 WASTEWA	TER	COLLEC	TIO	N/CONVE	YAN	ICE – EFFI	CIENCY	
			2011		2010		2009		
7.1 a)	Operating costs for the collection/conveyance of wastewater per kilometre of wastewater main.	\$	1,060.49	\$	4,222.57	\$	3,224.86		
7.1 b)	Total costs for the collection/conveyance of wastewater per kilometre of wastewater main.	\$	1,060.49	\$	4,222.57				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal wastewater collection/conveyance.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3111 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3111 45 (Total costs measure).

	7.2 WASTEWA	TER TRI	EATM	ENT A	ND DIS	POSA	L – EFF	CIENCY	
			2011		2010		2009		
7.2 a)	Operating costs for the treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre.	\$ 7	75.02	\$	782.69	\$	707.89		
7.2 b)	Total costs for the treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre.	\$ 7	75.02	\$	782.69				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal wastewater treatment and disposal.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3112 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3112 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

7.3 WASTEWATER INTEGRATED SYSTEM – EFFICI								
			2011		2010		2009	
7.3 a) Operating costs for the collection/conveyance, treatmedisposal of wastewater per medintegrated system).		\$	799.94	\$	878.02	\$	784.19	
7.3 b) Total costs for the collection/conveyance, treatmed disposal of wastewater per me (integrated system).		\$	799.94	\$	878.02			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal wastewater system (integrated system).

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3113 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3113 45 (Total costs measure).

	7.4 WASTE	WATER MAIN	BACKUPS -	EFFECTIVEN	ESS	
		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
7.4	Number of wastewater main backups per 100 kilometres of wastewater main in a year.	2.43	3.15	3.15	1.41	0.45

OBJECTIVE:

Municipal sewage management practices prevent environmental and human health hazards.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 3154 07.

	7.5 WASTEWA	TER BYPASSE	ES TREATME	NT – EFFECTI	VENESS	
		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
7.5	Percentage of wastewater estimated to have by-passed treatment.	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%
	OBJECTIVE: Municipal sewage management practic	ces prevent envi	ronmental and h	uman health ha	zards.	
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	RSTANDING R	ESULTS:			
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 31	55 07.				

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

Storm Water

CONTACT PERSON FOR STORM WATER:

8.1 URBAN S	STORM WATE	R MANAGEM	ENT – EFFICI
	2011	2010	2009
8.1 a) Operating costs for urban storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.			
8.1 b) Total costs for urban storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.			
OBJECTIVE:	I		

Efficient urban storm water management.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3209 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3209 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

	8.2 RURAL	STORM WATE	R MANAGEM	ENT – EFFICI	ENCY
		2011	2010	2009	
8.2 a)	Operating costs for rural storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.				
8.2 b)	Total costs for rural storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.				
	OBJECTIVE: Efficient rural storm water managemen	nt.			
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	ERSTANDING R	ESULTS:		
	REFERENCE: • The formulas for efficiency measure consistent with accrual accounting concepts mean operating costs as defined received from other municipalities for the content of the costs.	cepts. New total in MPMP, plus	l cost measures amortization and	were introduced	d and revised in 2010. Total

• Financial Information Return: 91 3210 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3210 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

Drinking Water

CONTACT PERSON FOR DRINKING WATER:

9.1 DRIN	9.1 DRINKING WATER TREATMENT – EFFICIENCY								
		2011		2010		2009			
9.1 a) Operating costs for the treatment of drinking water per megalitre.	\$	1,121.10	\$	691.30	\$	624.96			
9.1 b) Total costs for the treatment of drinking water per megalitre.	\$	1,121.10	\$	691.30					

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal water treatment services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3311 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3311 45 (Total costs measure).

	9.2 DRINKING WA	TE	R DISTRIB	UT	ION/TRAN	SMI	SSION – EI	FICIENCY	
			2011		2010		2009		
9.2 a)	Operating costs for the distribution/ transmission of drinking water per kilometre of water distribution pipe.	\$	5,490.85	\$	10,494.06	\$	9,190.08		
9.2 b)	Total costs for the distribution/ transmission of drinking water per kilometre of water distribution pipe.	\$	5,490.85	\$	10,494.06				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal water distribution/transmission services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3312 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3312 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

9.3 DRINKING WATER INTEGRATED SYSTEM – EFFIC									
			2011		2010		2009		
9.3 a)	Operating costs for the treatment and distribution/transmission of drinking water per megalitre (integrated system).	\$	1,271.74	\$	969.99	\$	883.53		
9.3 b)	Total costs for the treatment and distribution/transmission of drinking water per megalitre (integrated system).	\$	1,271.74	\$	969.99				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal water system (integrated system).

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3313 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3313 45 (Total costs measure).

9.4 BOIL	WATER ADV	ISORIES – EF	FECTIVENES	SS	
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
9.4 Weighted number of days when a boil water advisory issued by the medical officer of health, applicable to a municipal water supply, was in effect.	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

OBJECTIVE:

Water is safe and meets local needs.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 3355 07.

	9.5 BRE	AKS IN WATE	R MAINS – EF	FECTIVENES	S	
		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
9.5	Number of water main breaks per 100 kilometres of water distribution pipe in a year.	3.66	3.14	3.26	2.81	4.34
	OBJECTIVE: Improve system reliability.					
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	RSTANDING R	ESULTS:			
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 33:	56 07.				

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

Solid Waste Management (Garbage)

CONTACT PERSON FOR SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT:

10.1 GARBAGE COLLECTION – EFFICIENCY									
		2011		2010		2009			
10.1 a) Operating costs for garbage collection per tonne or per household. (Specify)		22.66	\$	24.43	\$	44.26			
10.1 b) Total costs for garbage collection per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$	22.66	\$	24.43					

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal garbage collection services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3404 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3404 45 (Total costs measure).

10.2	10.2 GARBAGE DISPOSAL – EFFICIENCY								
	2	2011		2010	200				
10.2 a) Operating costs for garbage disposal per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -				
10.2 b) Total costs for garbage disposal per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$	-	\$	-					

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal garbage disposal services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3504 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3504 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

10.3 SOLID WASTE DIVERSION (RECYCLING) – EFFICIENCY								
	:	2011		2010		2009		
10.3 a) Operating costs for solid waste diversion per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$ 96	6.22	\$	106.87	\$	112.43		
10.3 b) Total costs for solid waste diversion per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$ 96	6.22	\$	106.87				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient solid waste diversion (recycling) services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3606 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3606 45 (Total costs measure).

10.4 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT (INTEGRATED SYSTEM) – EFFICIENCY										
	2011	2010	2009							
10.4 a) Average operating costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$ 94.09	\$ 97.62	\$ 124.06							
10.4 b) Average total costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$ 94.09	\$ 97.62								

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient solid waste management (integrated system).

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3607 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3607 45 (Total costs measure).

10.5 COMPLAINTS – COLLECTION OF GARBAGE AND RECYCLED MATERIALS EFFECTIVENESS									
		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007			
10.5	Number of complaints received in a year concerning the collection of garbage and recycled materials per 1,000 households.	0.9	1.4	41.8	46.6	57.3			
OBJECTIVE: Improved collection of garbage and recycled materials.									
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	RSTANDING RES	SULTS:						
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 3452	2 07.							

		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
10.6	Total number of solid waste management facilities owned by the municipality with a Ministry of Environment certificate of approval.	0	0	0	0	(
OBJECTIVE: Context for solid waste management facility compliance measure.						
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	•				

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

10.7 FACILITY COMPLIANCE - EFFECTIVENESS

10.7 Number of days per year when a Ministry of Environment compliance order for remediation concerning an air or groundwater standard was in effect for a municipally owned solid waste management facility, by facility.

FIR line #	Facility Name	Days 2011	Days 2010	Days 2009	Days 2008	Days 2007
3553						
3554						
3555						
3556						
3557						
3558						
3559						
3560						
3561						
3562	Please delete unused rows.					

List facilities in the order they appear in the 2011 Financial Information Return (FIR).

OBJECTIVE:

Municipal solid waste services do not have an adverse impact on environment.

- Facility Name: 92 3553 03 to 92 3562 03 in Financial Information Return.
- Days: 92 3553 07 to 92 3562 07.

	10.8 DIVERSION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE EFFECTIVENESS										
		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007					
10.8	Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling.	74%	69%	71%	70%	70%					
	OBJECTIVE: Municipal solid waste reduction programs divert waste from landfills and/or incinerators.										
	Municipal solid waste reduction programs divert waste from landfills and/or incinerators. NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:										
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 3655 07.										

	10.9 DIVI (Based on Combined Res	sidential and I		SOLID WAST nmercial/Insti		age)
		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
10.9	Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling (based on combined residential and ICI tonnage).	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	OBJECTIVE: Municipal solid waste reduction program	ns divert waste f	from landfills an	d/or incinerators	6.	
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	RSTANDING R	ESULTS:			
	REFERENCE:					

- ICI means Industrial/Commercial/Institutional.
- Financial Information Return: 92 3656 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

Parks and Recreation

CONTACT PERSON FOR PARKS AND RECREATION:

11.1 PARKS – EFFICIENCY								
		2011		2010	2009			
11.1 a) Operating costs for parks per person.	\$	28.24	\$	27.40	\$ 26.83			
11.1 b) Total costs for parks per person.	\$	28.24	\$	27.40				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient operation of parks.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7103 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7103 45 (Total costs measure).

11.2 R	ECRE#	NOITA	PROGE	RAMS-	EFFICIE	ENCY
		2011		2010		2009
11.2 a) Operating costs for recreation programs per person.	\$	25.21	\$	21.29	\$ 2	21.89
11.2 b) Total costs for recreation programs per person.	\$	25.21	\$	21.29		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient operation of recreation programs.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7203 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7203 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2011 RESULTS

11.3 RECREATION FACILITIES – EFFICIENCY								
		2011		2010		2009		
11.3 a) Operating costs for recreation facilities per person.	\$	58.96	\$	49.68	\$	52.68		
11.3 b) Total costs for recreation facilities per person.	\$	58.96	\$	49.68				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient operation of recreation facilities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7306 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7306 45 (Total costs measure).

11.4 RECREATION PROGRAMS AND RECREATION FACILITIES (SUBTOTAL) EFFICIENCY									
	2011	201	0 2009						
11.4 a) Operating costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal)	\$ 84.17	\$ 70.97	\$ 74.57						
11.4 b) Total costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal)	\$ 84.17	\$ 70.97							

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient operation of recreation programs and facilities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7320 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7320 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

11.5 TRAILS – EFFECTIVENESS								
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007			
11.5 Total kilometres of trails	138	115	115	71	31			
11.5 Total kilometres of trails per 1,000 persons	0.44	0.37	0.38	0.24	0.11			

OBJECTIVE:

Trails provide recreation opportunities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 7152 05 and 92 7152 07.

	11.6 OPEN SPACE – EFFECTIVENESS							
		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007		
11.6	Hectares of open space (municipally owned)	1,107	1,102	1,031	1,060	837		
11.6	Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned)	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.5	2.9		

OBJECTIVE:

Open space is adequate for population.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 7155 05 and 92 7155 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

	11.7 PARTICIPANT HOURS FOR RECREATION PROGRAMS EFFECTIVENESS								
		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007			
11.7	Total participant hours for recreation programs per 1,000 persons.	13,653.2	18,537.5	19,452.8	16,751.1	18,369.7			
	OBJECTIVE: Recreation programs serve needs of residents.								
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	RSTANDING RI	ESULTS:						

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 7255 07.

	11.8 INDOOR RECREATION FACILITY SPACE – EFFECTIVENESS							
		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007		
11.8	Square metres of indoor recreation facilities (municipally owned).	96,136	90,037	90,037	90,037	90,036		
11.8	Square metres of indoor recreation facilities per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).	304.6	291.6	296.1	300.1	313.7		

OBJECTIVE:

Indoor recreation facility space is adequate for population.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 7356 05 and 92 7356 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007		
11.9	Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space (municipally owned).	21,751	21,751	22,955	31,383	29,980		
11.9	Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).	68.9	70.4	75.5	104.6	104.5		
	OBJECTIVE: Outdoor recreation facility space is adequate for population.							

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 7359 05 and 92 7359 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

Libraries

CONTACT PERSON FOR LIBRARIES:

12.1 LIBRARY COSTS PER PERSON – EFFICIENC						
		2011		2010		2009
12.1 a) Operating costs for library services per person.	\$	35.61	\$	30.90	\$	31.57
12.1 b) Total costs for library services per person.	\$	35.61	\$	30.90		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient library services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7405 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7405 45 (Total costs measure).

12.2 L	′				
	2	2011	201	2009	
12.2 a) Operating costs for library services per use. ¹	\$ 0	.84	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.78	
12.2 b) Total costs for library services per use.	\$ 0	.84	\$ 0.83		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient library services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long-term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7406 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7406 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

12.	3 LIBRARY U	LIBRARY USES – EFFECTIVENESS 2011 2010 2009			
	2011	2010	2009		
12.3 Library uses per person.1	42.27	37.32	40.23		

OBJECTIVE:

Increased use of library services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 92 7460 07.

Line numbers for prior years:

• The FIR reference for the measure, library uses per person, did not change in 2009.

12.4 ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES – EFFECTIVENESS						
	2011	2010	2009			
12.4 Electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses. ¹	44%	32%	29%			

OBJECTIVE:

Better information on library usage.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 92 7463 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

12.5 NON - ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES - EFFECTIVENESS								
		2011	2010	2009				
12.5 Non-electronic libra percentage of total	4	56%	68%	71%				

OBJECTIVE:

Better information on library usage.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 92 7462 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2011 RESULTS

Land Use Planning

CONTACT PERSON FOR LAND USE PLANNING:

13.1 LOCATION OF NEW RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT – EFFECTIVENESS							
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007		
13.1 Percentage of new residential un located within settlement areas.	its 100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
OR IECTIVE:							

OBJECTIVE:

New residential development is occurring within settlement areas.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 8170 07.

13.2 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND DURING REPORTING YEAR EFFECTIVENESS								
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007			
13.2 Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			
OBJECTIVE: Preservation of agricultural land.								

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 8163 07.

	13.3 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND RELATIVE TO 2000 EFFECTIVENESS								
2011 2010 2009 2008 2									
13.3	Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year of 2000.	88%	88%	88%	88%	88%			
	OBJECTIVE: Preservation of agricultural land.								
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:								
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 8164	₩ 07.							

13.4 Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.						
)					
OBJECTIVE: Preservation of agricultural land.						
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:						

13.5 CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGRICULTURAL HECTARES SINCE 2000 EFFECTIVENESS							
		2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	
13.5	Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses since January 1, 2000.	842	842	842	842	842	
	OBJECTIVE: Preservation of agricultural land.						
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERS	TANDING RES	ULTS:				
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 8166	07.					